

**From:** [Will Reed](#)  
**To:** [Kimmie Allison](#); [Ron Brean](#); [Greg Scott](#); [Barbara Frye](#); [Max Glenn](#); [Nancy Batchelder](#); [Sandy Dunn](#)  
**Cc:** [Sandy Rutherford](#)  
**Subject:** Recent memo from Nan Scott re: Shoreland Setbacks rules  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2016 12:41:42 PM

---

I just read the memo from Nan regarding current setback rules. I must disagree.

The current rules clearly apply to both fish bearing and non fish bearing perennial streams. The idea that only fish bearing streams are currently protected was discussed at length with members of the planning commission (including Nan) and consensus was reached on that point. Some of that discussion was around my kitchen table while we ate ginger molasses cookies and tea with copies of the code spread out on the breakfast bar.

Several of us along Gender Creek spent months proving to the city that Gender Creek is perennial. Other than informal discussions with planning commission members no one at the city has asserted that fishbearing status is a consideration in applying the current riparian corridor of 50 feet to Gender Creek if it is deemed "perennial." If "fishbearing" were a requirement, surely someone would have informed the folks along Agency Creed and the Overleaf Association that current setback rules don't apply to them

Betty Reed